Review Form for

Performance Improvement Abstract

Abstracts rated utilizing the following criteria: 1= poor; 5=excellent

**Introduction/Background**

1.The author clearly describes the problem that led to evaluating performance.

**Statement of the problem**

2. The problem was clear and concise.

**Methods**

3. Did the author(s) state the PI methodology used that guided their evaluation?

**Processes addressed**

4. Did the author(s) described in logical order what processes were addressed?

5. Did the author (s) describe what was to be measured, how it was measured, and where this information was found?

**Outcomes**

6. Did the author (s) present findings of measures in a logical format?

7. Did the author(s) leap to conclusions beyond the data presented?

**Implications for practice**

8. Did the author(s) interpret their findings so that the findings can be connected back to the problem statement?

9. Did the author(s) describe how the outcome they found will impact practice?

**Abstract Quality**

10. Did the author (s) follow the abstract guidelines?

11. Was the writing clear and professional?