



Igniting Educational Excellence

Healthcare Educator Virtual Conference Friday, October 31, 2025

Abstract Submission Rubric

Deadline for Submission: May 15, 2025

Title of Abstract:	

Criteria	Excellent= 3	Adequate= 2 points	Inadequate= 1	Unmet
	points		point	requirements
	-		-	= 0 points
Introduction/Background Education Focus/Teaching Strategy	The gap in knowledge, the scope of an educational problem, or the importance of the teaching strategy or educational project was clearly described and logically supported the need for the intervention or project. The teaching strategy or educational project was clearly	The gap in knowledge, the scope of an educational problem, or the importance of the teaching strategy or educational project was mentioned but lacked sufficient detail to logically infer a need for the intervention or project. The teaching strategy or project was described but lacked clarity or	The gap in knowledge, the scope of an educational problem, or the importance of the teaching strategy or educational project was unclear. The teaching strategy or project was vague, incomplete, or	Background not addressed. Education focus/ teaching strategy not addressed.
	described with innovative and original elements.	originality.	difficult to follow.	
Methods/Instructional Design	The strategy or project implementation process was explained in a logical sequence with sufficient detail.	The process was described, but some steps lacked detail or logical flow.	The process was disorganized and missing significant details.	Methods/ instructional design not addressed.
Outcomes	The outcomes were clearly stated,	The outcomes were stated but lacked	The outcomes were vague, or	Outcomes not addressed.

directly aligned with the teaching strategy/project. Implications for Healthcare Education H		11 1	1 1	. 1	
Mith the teaching strategy/project. With the strategy. The implications for healthcare education were logically linked to the outcomes and included insightful analysis. The abstract was well-written, free of grammatical errors, and used clear, concise, and professional language. The abstract was highly relevant to healthcare education and aligned closely with the conference's mission. The abstract was somewhat relevant to healthcare education and aligned closely with the conference (Points possible 21) The abstract alignment with the teach the strategy. The implications were not linked to outcomes. Implications mere not linked to outcomes. Implications not addressed. Implications mere not linked to outcomes. Implications mere stated but lacked maningful analysis. Implications mere not linked to outcomes. Implications mere stated but lacked maningf		measurable, and	clarity,	irrelevant to the	
Implications for The implications The implications The implications The implications The implications for healthcare education were logically linked to the outcomes and included insightful analysis. Professional quality of the writing Professional quality of the writing The abstract was well-written, free of grammatical errors, and used clear, concise, and professional language. The abstract was understandable but included minor grammatical errors or unclear phrasing. The abstract was poorly written, with frequent grammatical errors or unprofessional language. The abstract was to healthcare education and aligned closely with the conference mission. Add the scores from each row to get a total score. (Points possible 21) Abstract score 21 Comprehensive and compelling, meeting all criteria adequately. The implications were not linked to uncomes. The implications were not linked to outcomes. Implications were not linked to outcomes. Implications were not linked to outcomes. The abstract was understandable but included minor grammatical errors or unclear phrasing. The abstract was not relevant to healthcare education and the conference mission. The abstract was not relevant to healthcare education or the conference mission. The abstract was not relevant to healthcare education or the conference mission. The abstract was not relevant to healthcare education or the conference mission. The abstract was not relevant to healthcare education or the conference mission. The abstract was not relevant to healthcare education or the conference mission. The abstract was not relevant to healthcare education or the conference mission. The abstract was not relevant to healthcare education or the conference mission. The abstract was not relevant to healthcare education or the conference mission. The abstract was not relevant to healthcare education or the				strategy/project.	
The implications for Healthcare education were logically linked to the outcomes and included insightful analysis. Professional quality of the writing Professional quality of grammatical errors, and used clear, concise, and professional language. Professional quality of grammatical errors or unclear phrasing. Professional quality of grammatical errors or unprofessional language. Professional quality of grammatical errors or unprofessional quality of the abstract was somewhat relevant to healthcare education and the conference mission. Professional quality of grammatical errors or unprofessional quality of the abstract was not relevant to healthcare education and the conference mission. Professional quality of grammatical errors or unprofessional quality of the abstract was not relevan			_		
Healthcare Education for healthcare education were logically linked to the outcomes and included insightful analysis. Professional quality of the writing Professional quality of the writing Relevance to healthcare education/conference mission Add the scores from each row to get a total score. (Points possible 21) Abstract score Professional quality of the abstract was well-written, free of grammatical errors, and used clear, concise, and professional language. The abstract was understandable but included minor grammatical errors or unprofessional language. The abstract was highly relevant to healthcare education and aligned closely with the conference's mission. The abstract was somewhat relevant to healthcare education and aligned closely with the conference insission. The abstract was somewhat relevant to healthcare education and the conference mission. The abstract was somewhat relevant to healthcare education and the conference mission. The abstract was somewhat relevant to healthcare education and the conference mission. The abstract was somewhat relevant to healthcare education and the conference mission. The abstract was somewhat relevant to healthcare education on the conference mission. The abstract was somewhat relevant to healthcare education and the conference mission. The abstract was somewhat relevant to healthcare education on the conference mission. The abstract was somewhat relevant to healthcare education on the conference mission. The abstract was somewhat relevant to healthcare education on the conference mission. The abstract was somewhat relevant to healthcare education on the conference mission. The abstract was somewhat relevant to healthcare education on the conference mission. The abstract was somewhat relevant to healthcare education on the conference mission. The abstract was somewhat relevant to healthcare education and the conference mission. The abstract was somewhat relevant to healthcare education and aligned closely with frequent grammatical errors or unp		strategy/project.	with the strategy.		
education were logically linked to the outcomes and included insightful analysis. Professional quality of the writing The abstract was well-written, free of grammatical errors, and used clear, concise, and professional language. Relevance to healthcare education/conference mission Relevance to healthcare education and aligned closely with the conference's mission. Add the scores from each row to get a total score. (Points possible 21) Abstract score education were logically linked to the outcomes and included minor grammatical errors or unclear phrasing. The abstract was understandable but included minor grammatical errors or unprofessional language. The abstract was somewhat relevant to healthcare education and the conference mission. The abstract was not relevant to healthcare education and the conference mission. The abstract was not relevant to conference mission. The abstract was not relevant to healthcare education and the conference mission. The abstract was not relevant to healthcare education or the conference mission. The abstract was not relevant to healthcare education or the conference mission. The abstract was not relevant to healthcare education or the conference mission. The abstract was not relevant to healthcare education or the conference mission. The abstract was not relevant to healthcare education or the conference mission. The abstract was not relevant to healthcare education or the conference mission. The abstract was not relevant to healthcare education or the conference mission. The abstract was not relevant to healthcare education or the conference mission. The abstract was not relevant to healthcare education or the conference mission. The abstract was not relevant to healthcare education and the conference mission. The abstract was not relevant to healthcare education and the conference mission. The abstract was not relevant to healthcare education or the conference mission. The abstract was not relevant to healthcare education or the conference mission. Th	Implications for	The implications	The implications	The implications	Implications
logically linked to the outcomes and included insightful analysis.	Healthcare Education	for healthcare	were stated but	were not linked to	not addressed.
the outcomes and included insightful analysis. Professional quality of the writing The abstract was well-written, free of grammatical errors, and used clear, concise, and professional language. Relevance to healthcare education/conference mission Relevance to healthcare education and aligned closely with the conference's mission. Add the scores from each row to get a total score. (Points possible 21) The abstract was understandable but included minor grammatical errors or unclear phrasing. The abstract was understandable but included minor grammatical errors or unprofessional language. The abstract was on tredevant to healthcare education and the conference mission. The abstract was noorly written, with frequent grammatical errors or unprofessional language. The abstract was not relevant to healthcare education and the conference mission. The abstract was nor relevant to healthcare education and the conference mission. The abstract was poorly written, with frequent grammatical errors or unprofessional language. The abstract was not relevant to healthcare education or the conference mission. The abstract was not relevant to healthcare education or the conference mission. The abstract was not relevant to healthcare education or the conference mission. The abstract was not relevant to healthcare education or the conference mission. The abstract was not relevant to healthcare education or the conference mission. The abstract was not relevant to healthcare education or the conference mission. The abstract was not relevant to healthcare education and the conference mission. The abstract was not relevant to healthcare education or the conference mission. The abstract was not relevant to healthcare education or the conference mission. The abstract was not relevant to healthcare education and the conference mission. The abstract was not relevant to healthcare education and the conference mission. The abstract was not relevant to healthcare education and the conference mission. The abstract was n		education were	lacked meaningful	outcomes.	
the outcomes and included insightful analysis. Professional quality of the writing The abstract was well-written, free of grammatical errors, and used clear, concise, and professional language. Relevance to healthcare education/conference mission Relevance to healthcare education and aligned closely with the conference's mission. Add the scores from each row to get a total score. (Points possible 21) The abstract was understandable but included minor grammatical errors or unclear phrasing. The abstract was understandable but included minor grammatical errors or unprofessional language. The abstract was on tredevant to healthcare education and the conference mission. The abstract was noorly written, with frequent grammatical errors or unprofessional language. The abstract was not relevant to healthcare education and the conference mission. The abstract was nor relevant to healthcare education and the conference mission. The abstract was poorly written, with frequent grammatical errors or unprofessional language. The abstract was not relevant to healthcare education or the conference mission. The abstract was not relevant to healthcare education or the conference mission. The abstract was not relevant to healthcare education or the conference mission. The abstract was not relevant to healthcare education or the conference mission. The abstract was not relevant to healthcare education or the conference mission. The abstract was not relevant to healthcare education or the conference mission. The abstract was not relevant to healthcare education and the conference mission. The abstract was not relevant to healthcare education or the conference mission. The abstract was not relevant to healthcare education or the conference mission. The abstract was not relevant to healthcare education and the conference mission. The abstract was not relevant to healthcare education and the conference mission. The abstract was not relevant to healthcare education and the conference mission. The abstract was n		logically linked to	analysis.		
Professional quality of the writing			•		
Professional quality of the writing The abstract was well-written, free of grammatical errors, and used clear, concise, and professional language. Relevance to healthcare education/conference mission Relevance to mission Relevance to healthcare education and aligned closely with the conference's mission. Add the scores from each row to get a total score. (Points possible 21) Abstract score The abstract was understandable but included minor grammatical errors or unprofessional language. The abstract was somewhat relevant to healthcare education and the conference mission. The abstract was somewhat relevant to healthcare education and the conference mission. The abstract was somewhat relevant to healthcare education and the conference mission. The abstract was somewhat relevant to healthcare education and the conference mission. The abstract was somewhat relevant to healthcare education or the conference mission. The abstract was somewhat relevant to healthcare education and the conference mission. The abstract was somewhat relevant to healthcare education or the conference mission. The abstract was somewhat relevant to healthcare education or the conference mission. The abstract was somewhat relevant to healthcare education or the conference mission. The abstract was somewhat relevant to healthcare education and the conference mission. The abstract was somewhat relevant to healthcare education and the conference mission. The abstract was somewhat relevant to healthcare education and the conference mission. The abstract was somewhat relevant to healthcare education and the conference mission. The abstract was somewhat relevant to healthcare education and the conference mission. The abstract was somewhat relevant to healthcare education and the conference mission. The abstract was somewhat relevant to healthcare education and the conference mission. The abstract was somewhat relevant to healthcare education and the conference mission. The abstract was somewhat relevant to healthcare educat					
The abstract was well-written, free of grammatical errors, and used clear, concise, and professional language.		•			
the writing	Professional quality of	1	The abstract was	The abstract was	
of grammatical errors, and used clear, concise, and professional language. Relevance to healthcare education/conference mission Relevance to healthcare education and aligned closely with the conference's mission. Add the scores from each row to get a total score. (Points possible 21) Abstract score Of grammatical errors or unprofessional language. The abstract was somewhat relevant to healthcare education and the conference mission. The abstract was not relevant to healthcare education and the conference mission. The abstract was not relevant to healthcare education or the conference mission. The abstract was not relevant to healthcare education or the conference mission. The abstract was not relevant to healthcare education or the conference mission. The abstract was not relevant to healthcare education or the conference mission. The abstract was not relevant to healthcare education or the conference mission. The abstract was not relevant to healthcare education or the conference mission. The abstract was not relevant to healthcare education and the conference mission. The abstract was not relevant to healthcare education and the conference mission. The abstract was not relevant to healthcare education and the conference mission. Falls to meet multiple criteria.					
Relevance to healthcare education/conference mission Add the scores from each row to get a total score. (Points possible 21) Professional language. The abstract was highly relevant to healthcare education and aligned closely with the conference's mission. The abstract was somewhat relevant to healthcare education and the conference mission. The abstract was somewhat relevant to healthcare education and the conference mission. The abstract was not relevant to healthcare education or the conference mission. The abstract was not relevant to healthcare education or the conference mission. The abstract was not relevant to healthcare education or the conference mission. The abstract was not relevant to healthcare education or the conference mission. The abstract was not relevant to healthcare education or the conference mission. The abstract was not relevant to healthcare education or the conference mission. The abstract was not relevant to healthcare education or the conference mission. The abstract was not relevant to healthcare education or the conference mission. The abstract was not relevant to healthcare education or the conference mission. The abstract was not relevant to healthcare education or the conference mission. The abstract was not relevant to healthcare education or the conference mission. The abstract was not relevant to healthcare education or the conference mission. The abstract was not relevant to healthcare education or the conference mission. The abstract was not relevant to healthcare education or the conference mission. The abstract was not relevant to healthcare education or the conference mission. The abstract was not relevant to healthcare education or the conference mission. The abstract was not relevant to healthcare education or the conference mission. The abstract was not relevant to healthcare education or the conference mission.	the writing	,			
Clear, concise, and professional language.		_			
Relevance to healthcare education/conference mission Add the scores from each row to get a total score. (Points possible 21) Abstract score Professional language. The abstract was highly relevant to healthcare education and aligned closely with the conference's mission. The abstract was somewhat relevant to healthcare education and the conference mission. The abstract was not relevant to healthcare education or the conference mission. Add the scores from each row to get a total score. (Points possible 21) Abstract score 21 Comprehensive and compelling, meeting all criteria Meets most criteria adequately. The abstract was not relevant to healthcare education or the conference mission. The abstract was not relevant to healthcare education or the conference mission. The abstract was not relevant to healthcare education or the conference mission. Falls to meet multiple criteria.		1	, ,	•	
Relevance to healthcare education/conference mission Relevance to healthcare education/conference mission Add the scores from each row to get a total score. (Points possible 21) Abstract score language. language. The abstract was somewhat relevant to healthcare education and the conference mission. conference's mission.			of unclear piliasing.		
Relevance to healthcare education/conference missionThe abstract was highly relevant to healthcare education and aligned closely with the conference's mission.The abstract was somewhat relevant to healthcare education and the conference mission.The abstract was not relevant to healthcare education or the conference mission.Add the scores from each row to get a total score. (Points possible 21)21 Comprehensive and compelling, meeting all criteria14-20 Meets most criteria adequately.1-13 Fails to meet multiple criteria.		1		*	
highly relevant to healthcare education and aligned closely with the conference's mission. Add the scores from each row to get a total score. (Points possible 21) Abstract score 21 Comprehensive and compelling, meeting all criteria highly relevant to healthcare education and the conference mission. not relevant to healthcare education or the conference mission. Abeliance of the education or the conference mission. 14-20 Meets most criteria adequately. Fails to meet multiple criteria.	D. L		771 1 4 4	· · · · · ·	
healthcare education and aligned closely with the conference's mission. Add the scores from each row to get a total score. (Points possible 21) Abstract score 21 Comprehensive and compelling, meeting all criteria Comprehensive and compelling, meeting all criteria to healthcare education and the conference mission. healthcare education or the conference mission. 14-20 Meets most criteria adequately. T-13 Fails to meet multiple criteria.					
education and aligned closely with the conference's mission. Add the scores from each row to get a total score. (Points possible 21) Abstract score 21 Comprehensive and compelling, meeting all criteria Comprehensive and compelling, meeting all criteria education and the conference mission. education or the conference mission. 14-20 Meets most criteria adequately. Fails to meet multiple criteria.					
aligned closely with the conference's mission. Add the scores from each row to get a total score. (Points possible 21) Abstract score 21 Comprehensive and compelling, meeting all criteria Abstract score 21 Comprehensive and compelling, meeting all criteria Abstract score 21 Comprehensive and compelling, meeting all criteria Abstract score 21 Comprehensive and compelling, meeting all criteria Abstract score 21 Comprehensive and compelling, meeting all criteria	mission				
with the conference's mission. Add the scores from each row to get a total score. (Points possible 21) Abstract score 21					
Add the scores from each row to get a total score. (Points possible 21) Abstract score 21 Comprehensive and compelling, meeting all criteria Adequately. Conference's mission. 14-20 Meets most criteria adequately. Fails to meet multiple criteria.			conference mission.		
Add the scores from each row to get a total score. (Points possible 21) Abstract score Comprehensive and compelling, meeting all criteria Description: Meets most criteria adequately. Meets most criteria. Meets multiple criteria.				mission.	
Add the scores from each row to get a total score. (Points possible 21) Abstract score 21 Comprehensive and compelling, meeting all criteria Add the scores from each row to get a total score. 14-20 Meets most criteria adequately. Fails to meet multiple criteria.		conference's			
row to get a total score. (Points possible 21) 21 Comprehensive and compelling, meeting all criteria 21 Comprehensive and compelling, meeting all criteria 31 Comprehensive and compelling, meeting all criteria 42 Comprehensive and compelling, meeting all criteria 43 Comprehensive and compelling, meeting all criteria 44 Comprehensive and compelling, meeting all criteria		mission.			
(Points possible 21) Abstract score 21					
Abstract score 21 Comprehensive and compelling, meeting all criteria 21 Meets most criteria adequately. Meets most criteria and compelling, multiple criteria.	row to get a total score.				
Comprehensive and compelling, meeting all criteria Meets most criteria adequately. Fails to meet multiple criteria.	(Points possible 21)				
Comprehensive and compelling, meeting all criteria Meets most criteria adequately. Fails to meet multiple criteria.					
and compelling, adequately. multiple criteria.	Abstract score	21	14-20	1-13	
meeting all criteria		Comprehensive	Meets most criteria	Fails to meet	
meeting all criteria		and compelling,	adequately.	multiple criteria.	
for excellence.		for excellence.			