Review Criteria for

Evidence-Based Practice Abstract

Abstracts rated utilizing the following criteria: 1= poor; 5=excellent

**Background/Significance of the problem**

1. The author clearly describes the problem that led to the searching the published research.

**Clinical Question**

2. Was the PICO or PICOT question clearly stated?

**Search of the literature/best evidence**

3. Did the author(s) state the databases, key words, and search yield in a manner that indicates a thorough search?

4. Did the author (s) provide you with the number/level of evidence of articles that met the criteria for review?

**Clinical Appraisal of literature/best evidence**

5. Did the author (s) describe a synthesis of the articles reviewed?

6. Did the author(s) state whether the intervention of interest was supported (or not) by the evidence?

**Integration into Practice**

7. Did the author(s) describe what was done to pilot the findings?

8. Did the author(s) describe the variables that measured the outcome of interest?

**Evaluation of evidence based practice**

9. Did the authors clearly describe the findings of the piloted project?

**Abstract Quality**

10. Did the author follow the abstract guidelines?

11. Was the writing clear and professional?